

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,013	08/05/2005	Stephen Temple	27754/24867	9262
4743 7590 0JH42010 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LAM S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/520,013	TEMPLE, STEPHEN				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853				
All Participants:	Status of Application: 71					
(1) <u>LAM S. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JEREMY KRIEGEL</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 12 January 2010	Time:					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 25, 31, 33  Prior art documents discussed: NAGOSHI ET AL. (US 6106093)						
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>						
/LAM S NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner introduced the above reference to Applicant's representative and also explained why the reference reads on the claims, except claims 40 and 44. The Examiner thus suggested the Applicant to rewrite claims 40 and 44 into independent form and cancel other claims to put the case into allowable condition. The Applicant agreed to do so.